Associations **Between PDMP** Strength and Prescribing Coordination

Elizabeth Nilsen, PhD(c) RN Kar-Hai Chu, PhD Paul Scott, PhD Julie Donohue, PhD Grant Martsolf, PhD MPH RN FAAN

Study Design

Social network analysis using 2017-2019 claims from Optum's deidentified Clinformatics® Data Mart Database

Sample

53,273 adult patients who underwent common surgical procedures in 2018 (list of procedures and full sample description available in supplement)

- Female: 67.9% • White: 70.2%
- Mean age: 54.4 years

257,058 prescribers

Network Building



Figure 1. Example of a patient-prescriber network and methods for establishing patient- prescriber and prescriber-prescriber ties



When prescribers are disconnected, do prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs) improve prescribing coordination?

Findings

Patient-prescriber networks are sparsely and weakly connected



Table 2. Logistic Regression with Generalized Estimated Equations				
Models Results,	OR (95% CI)			
		Strong PDMP	Weak/No PDMP	When in a disconnected network, having prescribers in a strong PDMP state decreases the risk of prescribing discoordination by 10%.
Density	0	0.90 (0.87, 0.93)	– 1.0 [ref.]	
	Tercile 1	0.95 (0.93, 0.98)		
	Tercile 2	0.97 (0.92, 1.03)		
	Tercile 3	1.05 (0.96, 1.14)		
Prescriber Tie Weight	0	0.90 (0.87, 0.93)	- 1.0 [ref.]	
	Tercile 1	0.95 (0.92, 0.98)		
	Tercile 2	0.93 (0.89, 0.97)		
	Tercile 3	1.08 (1.00, 1.17)		
Opioid Prescriber Tie Weight	0	0.88 (0.86, 0.90)	- 1.0 [ref.]	
	Tercile 1	1.08 (1.01, 1.16)		
	Tercile 2	1.03 (0.96, 1.10)		
	Tercile 3	0.93 (0.81, 1.07)		

Conclusions

- In the absence of prescriber connections, PDMPs can help prescribers to better coordinate opioid prescriptions.
- In highly connected networks, PDMPs may have less utility as other tools (such as shared electronic health records, EHRs) provide the same, if not more, patient information.

Policy Implications

- PDMPs should be viewed as useful tools for surgeons and prescribers in providing postoperative care.
- Policies that enhance prescriber access to PDMPs, such as delegate access or integration within the EHR, could reduce prescribing discoordination

able 1. Network Description, mean (SD)				
Prescribers per patient	8.59 (5.24)			
Opioid prescribers per patient	1.95 (1.50)			
Density	0.05 (0.11)			
Prescriber tie weight	0.10 (0.27)			
Opioid prescriber tie weight	0.11 (0.42)			

Dens Mea Mea

OR

PDMP Strength (binary) Using Prescription Drug Abuse Policy System, scored on features (e.g. required queries before prescribing controlled substances, the frequency of pharmacy reporting, and sharing data with Medicare/Medicaid; see supplement) Below the median = weak

At or above the median = strong

Study Variables

Network Measures (4 levels: 0,

terciles 1-3)

- Density
- Proportion of existing ties to possible ties, scale 0-1.
- Prescriber Tie Weight
 - Mean weight* of all prescriber to
- prescriber ties in a network, scale 0-3 **Opioid Prescriber Tie Weight**
 - Mean weight* of all prescriber to

prescriber ties in a network, scale 0-3 *Tie weight is based on number of shared patients, see supplement





	Network 1	Network 2
sity	0.66	0.30
n prescriber tie weight	1.00	0.80
n opioid prescriber tie weight	2.00	1.67

Figure 2. Exemplar networks and their network measures

Prescribing Discoordination (binary)

>90 MMEs cumulative opioids/day

>30 days of concurrent opioid and benzodiazepine prescriptions



Supplement

Contact